## **Residency Teaching Evaluation**

Note: May be used to evaluate <u>large</u> or <u>small</u> group teaching activity, <u>precepting</u> experience assessment, assessment of <u>other</u> teaching activities, and <u>Pharmacotherapy Rounds</u> (which requires an additional evaluation by audience participants).

Resident Name:	Emily Carrell
Teaching Activity:	PHAR 538 Abilities Lab 3 – IV Admixture Activity
Date of Teaching:	9/24/15
Mentor/Evaluator:	Jim Trovato
Date of Evaluation:	9/24/15

Crite	rion	Achieved	Satis. Progress	NA or Not Observed	Comment
Audience Analysis				NA	
•	Target audience characterized				
•	Prior learning assessment and prerequisites for learning activity				
	identified/evaluated				
•	Characterize teaching methods of course work within course objectives and				
	technologies				
Sett	ng Expectations and Atmosphere	Х			
•	Make learning activities and/or assessment criteria clear to learner prior to				
	presentation of material				
•	Encourage consideration of alternatives to help learner draw their own				
	conclusions				
•	Create positive atmosphere which will motivate learner to do their best				
	ent Expertise/Preparation	Х			
•	Takes initiative for self-directed learning prior to training for instruction				
•	Presents without significant reliance on reference material				
•	Explains concepts in depth when necessary				
•	Answers questions correctly			N. A	
	ning Objectives			NA	
•	Creates well-written learning objectives in the A, B, C, D format				
la at	Learning objectives are appropriately aligned with overall course objectives	V			
•	ructional Design and Methods	Х			
•	Chosen instruction design and methods appropriate for audience, course				
	and learning objectives  Multimedia materials appropriate to enhance learning activities				
	Active learning strategies incorporated into instructional design				
	Instructor's guide created and complete (as appropriate)				
Snor	iking Ability	Х			
• spea	Quality of speech is professional and confident, rate of speech is gauged to	^			
	comprehension ability, tone is assertive not aggressive				
	Fillers are non-disruptive ("umh," "okay," "you know," "ah")				
•	Uses appropriate phrases to guide through transitions				
•	Speaks clearly (volume, enunciation, rate of delivery)				
•	Does not read content from slides or notes				
Managing the Classroom / Learning Environment X					
	Minimize distractions and handle incivility appropriately without assistance	"			
•	Allow appropriate tangents and/or redirect to core material				
•	Establish an appropriate instructor-student relationship				
•	Consistently re-engage inattention learner as needed				
Listening		Х			
•	Performs attending behaviors appropriate to setting (such as standing or				
	sitting at the appropriate time, leaning forward [but not too close], using				
	good eye contact, and giving physical and verbal encouragement)				
•	Allows adequate time for learner to process material before interrupting or				
	providing the answer				
•	Usually able to restate student concern on first attempt				

Crit	erion	Achieved	Satis. Progress	NA or Not Observed	Comment
Individualizing Student Learning Experience		Х			
•	Assess learner's skill level to determine appropriate learning strategies				
•	Effectively utilize the four roles of practice-based teaching (direct				
	instruction, modeling, coaching and facilitating)				
•	Master a variety of techniques to elicit student learning through				
	questioning (clarify, probe assumptions, seek evidence, determine				
	individual perspectives, consider implications)				
•	Use Socratic method of questioning as appropriate				
•	Avoid leading/hinting				
•	Develop strategies to promote professional student behavior				
•	Effectively deals with difficult learners				
•	Individualize learning experience using strategies to facilitate learning in a				
	diverse learning population.				
Pro	vides Feedback to Learner	Х			
•	Provides evidence-based criterion referenced, learner-specific feedback				
	(verbal and written)				
•	Provides both informal formative feedback as well as summative feedback				
	when appropriate				
•	Gives specific detailed individualized comments with both positive and				
	negatives aspects of performance				
•	Engage learner in meaningful discussion about performance (in applicable)				
•	Correct student mistakes in a non-threatening manner				
•	Recommend strategies to improve				
•	Respect student privacy and dignity				
Eva	luation Plan			NA	
•	Creates an appropriate Level 1 evaluation plan (what learner thought and				
	felt about the training)				
•	Creates an appropriate Level 2 evaluation plan (designed to assess increase in knowledge or learner capability)				
	in knowledge or learner capability)  Appropriately assessing own performance in educational activity				
Use of Self-Feedback for Improvement Purposes				NA	
•	Reviews Level 1 feedback (what learner thought and felt about the training)			11/4	
	and possibly Level 2 feedback (degree to which learner increased				
	knowledge or capability) with mentor and self-assesses performance				
•	If applicable, reviews item analysis data with mentor from objective				
	assessment items				
•	Suggests methods of improvement for subsequent teaching based on				
	feedback				

**Additional comments:** Emily was well prepared to facilitate the I.V. admixture activity. She conducted herself in an efficient and professional manner. Emily was attentive and respectful to any student questions and provided students with accurate information to allow them to successfully complete the IV compounding activity.

Emily created a non-threating learning environment that put the students at ease. She successfully engaged active participation of students during the question and answer session pertaining to epidurals and medication dispensing cabinets. She displayed an acceptable command of using speech, tone of voice, and body language to engage students in conversation and students demonstrated active listening. It was a pleasure having Emily teach this learning activity.